

Serial No. 10/729,557

REMARKS

In an Office Action dated March 23, 2005, claims 1, 13, and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject invention. In particular, claims 1, 13 and 15 had elements for which insufficient antecedent basis was provided. Applicant has amended claims 1, 13 and 15 to provide the necessary antecedent basis. Applicant has added new independent claim 28. Applicant also respectfully requests confirmation that the supplemental IDS submitted December 2, 2004 was reviewed.

Applicant thanks Examiner for allowance of claims 19-27. Because no other reasons for rejection other than the lack of antecedent basis was presented, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-27 are in condition for allowance. New claim 28 is also believed to be allowable. Applicant respectfully requests allowance at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, s/he is hereby authorized to call Applicant's Attorney, Kent M. Chen, at telephone number (310)-333-3663.

Respectfully submitted,



Kent M. Chen
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 39,630
(310) 333-3663
June 23, 2005